



Free
Download

Valuing Covenants Not-to-Compete: an 11-Factor Checklist

Article published in the February 2012 Business Valuation Update
Authored by Gary Trugman, Trugman Valuation Associates, Inc.

Thank you for visiting Business Valuation Resources, the leading provider of quality acquisition data and analysis. For more information about any of our premier valuation products or services, please call (503) 291-7963 ext. 2 or email info@bvresources.com.

You may also download our complete product brochure at:
www.bvresources.com/allproductbrochure

For permission requests, please email permissions@bvresources.com.

Business Valuation Resources, LLC
1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1200 | Portland, OR 97205
(503) 291-7963 | info@bvresources.com
www.BVResources.com

Valuing Covenants Not-to-Compete: an 11-Factor Checklist

By Gary Trugman

The most common approach to valuing a covenant not-to-compete involves a “with and without” analysis, in which the appraiser estimates value based on the difference of two discounted future benefits calculations. A similar method, the lost profits analysis, which projects the present value profits that the acquired company would lose if the seller continued to compete, will reach pretty much the same value. A third method, compensation-based, measures the income that the seller loses due to the CNC, but it is not always appropriate since it does not measure value to the purchasing party.

A covenant not-to-compete (CNC) is an agreement between parties to the sale of a business, by which the seller typically agrees not to compete in the same industry for a specified period of time and within a specific geographic period. A CNC can be part of a large corporate asset sale or divestiture, or it can accompany the sale of a solo professional practice or family business. In either case, the contract for sale may include the covenants, or they can appear in a separate, non-compete agreement.

CNC as an intangible asset. Since a CNC is based purely on a contractual agreement, it has value to the degree that it protects the value of the purchased assets of the business (both tangible and intangible) by restricting the seller’s competitive conduct after the sale. As such, a CNC’s value is dependent on factors such as:

- The ability of the seller to compete after closing the sale, which may implicate the seller’s age, health, professional standing, etc.;

- The derivation of the non-compete; and
- The losses the buyer (company) would suffer if the seller, in fact, competed.

In most cases, an eight-step approach, “with and without,” will provide a comprehensive and correct method for valuing the CNC. Except for the last two, these steps may not always follow in sequence:

1. Identify the legitimacy and “economic reality” of the CNC (explained in more detail below).
2. Determine the value of the company assuming enforcement of the CNC. The parties may have already allocated this value in their agreements or in forecasts used to determine acquisition price.
3. Develop projections assuming competition from the selling party, based on historical trends and margins, growth drivers, asset utilization ratios, capital expenditures, etc.
4. Determine the overall value of the company assuming competition from the seller, using the forecasts developed in step 3.
5. Determine the differential between the values derived in steps 2 and 4;
6. Quantify the impact of amortization (tax savings), depending on the purpose and function of the valuation. In effect, once you have valued the CNC, do another projection that uses the deductibility of the CNC amor-

Reprinted with permissions from Business Valuation Resources, LLC

BUSINESS VALUATION UPDATE

Executive Editor: Jan Davis
 Legal Editor: Sherrye Henry Jr.
 CEO, Publisher: David Foster
 Managing Editor: Janice Prescott
 Graphic & Technical Designer: Monique Nijhout
 Customer Service: Jasmine Pearsall
 VP of Sales: Lexie Gross
 President: Lucretia Lyons

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

CHRISTINE BAKER
 CPA/ABV/CFF
 PARENTEBEARD
 NEW YORK, NY

NEIL J. BEATON
 CPA/ABV, CFA, ASA
 GRANT THORNTON
 SEATTLE, WA

JOHN A. BOGDANSKI, ESQ.
 LEWIS & CLARK
 LAW SCHOOL
 PORTLAND, OR

NANCY J. FANNON
 ASA, CPA/ABV, MCBA
 FANNON VALUATION GROUP
 PORTLAND, ME

JAY E. FISHMAN
 FASA, CBA
 FINANCIAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
 BALA CYNWYD, PA

LYNNE Z. GOLD-BIKIN, ESQ.
 WOLF BLOCK, SCHORR
 & SOLIS-COHEN
 NORRISTOWN, PA

LANCE S. HALL, ASA
 FMV OPINIONS
 IRVINE, CA

JAMES R. HITCHNER
 CPA/ABV, ASA
 THE FINANCIAL
 VALUATION GROUP
 ATLANTA, GA

THEODORE D. ISRAEL
 CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA
 ECKHOFF ACCOUNTANCY CORP.
 SAN RAFAEL, CA

JARED KAPLAN, ESQ.
 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
 CHICAGO, IL

GILBERT E. MATTHEWS CFA
 SUTTER SECURITIES INCORPORATED
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Z. CHRISTOPHER MERCER
 ASA, CFA
 MERCER CAPITAL
 MEMPHIS, TN

JOHN W. PORTER, ESQ.
 BAKER & BOTTS
 HOUSTON, TX

RONALD L. SEIGNEUR
 MBA CPA/ABV CVA
 SEIGNEUR GUSTAFSON
 LAKEWOOD, CO

BRUCE SILVERSTEIN, ESQ.
 YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR
 WILMINGTON, DE

JEFFREY S. TARBELL
 ASA, CFA
 HOULIHAN LOKEY
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA

GARY R. TRUGMAN
 ASA, CPA/ABV, MCBA, MVS
 TRUGMAN VALUATION ASSOCIATES
 PLANTATION, FL

KEVIN R. YEANOPLOS
 CPA/ABV/CFF, ASA
 BRUEGGEMAN & JOHNSON YEANOPLOS, PC.
 TUCSON, AZ

Business Valuation Update™ (ISSN 1088-4882) is published monthly by Business Valuation Resources, LLC, 1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1200, Portland, OR, 97205-3035. Periodicals Postage Paid at Portland, OR, and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to *Business Valuation Update*™, Business Valuation Resources, LLC, 1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1200, Portland, OR, 97205-3035.

The annual subscription price for the *Business Valuation Update*™ is \$359. Low cost site licenses are available for those wishing to distribute the *BVU* to their colleagues at the same address. Contact our sales department for details. Please feel free to contact us via email at customerservice@BVResources.com, via phone at 503-291-7963, via fax at 503-291-7955 or visit our web site at BVResources.com. Editorial and subscription requests may be made via email, mail, fax or phone.

Please note that by submitting material to *BVU*, you are granting permission for the newsletter to republish your material in electronic form.

Although the information in this newsletter has been obtained from sources that BVR believes to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy, and such information may be condensed or incomplete. This newsletter is intended for information purposes only, and it is not intended as financial, investment, legal, or consulting advice.

Copyright 2012, Business Valuation Resources, LLC (BVR). All rights reserved. No part of this newsletter may be reproduced without express written consent from BVR.

tization to adjust the future taxable income (and thus profits).

- Calculate the final value of the CNC, with any additional adjustments based on the probability of the seller's competition.
- Conduct a "sanity check." Does the concluded value make sense, taking into consideration the bigger picture of the business, industry, and other economic factors?

Obviously, the value of the CNC cannot exceed the total purchase price. Analysts can also check for reasonableness by examining the percentage of CNC value to total transaction values of other comparable sales.

11-factor test for economic reality. Many articles have addressed how to determine the "legitimacy" of CNCs. (Keep in mind that legitimacy does not equate to legal enforceability, which requires the opinion of legal counsel.) Several court cases have also developed tests by which to determine the "economic reality" of non-compete agreements. For instance, in *Forward Communications Corp. v. United States*, 608 F.2d 485 (Ct. Cl. 1979), the Federal Court of Claims summarized the four conditions that a CNC should meet to qualify for federal tax amortization:

- Severability.** Whether the compensation paid for the CNC is severable from the price paid for the acquired goodwill;
- Anticipated repudiation.** Whether either party to the contract is attempting to repudiate an amount knowingly that they both knowingly fixed or allocated to the CNC;
- Intent.** Whether there is proof that both parties actually intended to assign a price to the CNC when they executed the sale agreement; and
- Economic reality.** Whether the covenant is economically real and meaningful.

Reprinted with permissions from Business Valuation Resources, LLC

Clearly, the facts and circumstances in any individual case are important to consider. The sale documents, agreements, and discussions between the buyer and seller are also vital components to understanding the evolution and import of their deal and whether a CNC has economic substance and legitimacy.

Following *Forward Communications* and the cases cited therein, the Tax Court set forth an 11-factor test to determine the economic reality of a CNC in *Thompson v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo 1996-468 (1996)(available at *BVLaw*). These 11 points also furnish a good basis for assessing the probability of the seller's competition:

9. *Grantor's business expertise.* What knowledge and skills are necessary to run a competing business? Does the seller (grantor of the CNC) have these requisite skills?
10. *Grantor's intent to compete.* Why did the grantor sell the company? Does the grantor intend to return to the market after the CNC expires?
11. *Grantor's economic resources.* Does the grantor have the financial capacity and resources to compete with the acquired company?
12. *Potential damage to the grantee.* Will the acquired company (grantee) lose business if the grantor chooses to compete?
13. *Grantor's network.* Did the grantor build relationships with customers, suppliers, and other contacts of the acquired business? Did customers and suppliers choose to do business with the acquired company or the grantor?
14. *Duration and geographic scope.* Where did the grantor do business prior to the sale of the company? Does the CNC adequately restrict the grantor from competing in this area?
15. *Enforceability.* Does state law permit the enforcement of the CNC? Are the consequences for breach of the non-compete agreement legal?
16. *Age and health of the grantor.* Given the age, health, and retirement prospects of the grantor, is it reasonable that he or she will be able to compete with the acquired company?
17. *Payment terms.* How do the sale agreements measure payments to the grantor? If they require payment over the term of the CNC, and if there is more than one selling shareholder, are those payments pro rata by ownership interest to all shareholders? Or is payment measured by contributions to the company prior to acquisition?
18. *Payment duration.* If the sale agreements require payment over the term of the CNC, what happens to that obligation should the grantor die or breach the contract?
19. *Negotiations.* Did the parties "vigorously" negotiate the terms and value for sale of the company and its assets?

Final check. This article is intended to provide a summary overview of the key issues surrounding CNC valuations and a practical approach to use when valuing such an asset. As with all other aspects of valuation, analysts must carefully consider all the particular facts and issues, conduct the proper research and due diligence, and measure the reasonableness of all inputs they have used to determine the value of the CNC.

Gary Trugman is president of *Trugman Valuation Associates Inc.*, a business valuation and economic damages firm with offices in Plantation, Fla., and Parsippany, N.J. This article is based on his presentation and accompanying materials at the 2011 AICPA National Business Valuation Conference in Las Vegas, NV.